

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,758	10/23/2003	Kivin Varghese	001-255	2757
52447 7 • ANDREW MCA	7590 03/29/2007 ALEAVEY		EXAMINER	
4600 ADELINE	EST., #101		NGUYEN, VAN KIM T	VAN KIM T
EMERYVILLE, CA 94608			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2151	
•				
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/29/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
•	10/605,758	VARGHESE, KIVIN		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Van Kim T. Nguyen	2151		
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 38(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status	•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 O	ctober 2003.			
,	action is non-final.			
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E		_		
Disposition of Claims		•		
4) Claim(s) 21-41 is/are pending in the application	n.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•			
6)⊠ Claim(s) 21-41 is/are rejected.		•		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.			
, — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	•		
Application Papers		•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	•			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	neigriby under 25 H S C & 110(a	_(d) or (f)		
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	i priority drider 55 b.o.o. 3 i rota			
·— _ ·—	to have been received	•		
1. Certified copies of the priority document		ion No		
2. Certified copies of the priority document				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		eu iii ulis Nauoliai Stage		
application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•	ad		
See the attached detailed Office action for a list				
Attachment(s)				
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summer	•		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	A STATE OF THE STA		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date None.	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	гавн ирисация		
i rhoi isafahisan adia isati s	T/ hand Tarrett,			

Application/Control Number: 10/605,758 Page 2

Art Unit: 2151

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is responsive to communications filed on October 23, 2003.

Claims 1-20 has been cancelled by preliminary amendment, hence claims 21-41 remain pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 21-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murphy (US 6,564,380).

Regarding claims 21, 28 and 35, Murphy discloses a method implemented in at least one web server hosting a website, the method comprising:

- (a) receiving uploaded video clips from a plurality of remotely located uploaders via the website (e.g., video feeds are supplied to the system and transmitted into the system's network; col. 11: lines 5-14);
- (b) receiving a request via the website for at least one uploaded video clip (e.g., users log on to find what video feeds are available and request, contract for access to a desired video feed; col. 7: lines 32-35; and col. 11: lines 22-26);

Art Unit: 2151

(c) identifying a fee associated with the requested video clip (e.g., Pricing Table is used for pricing access to video feeds to requesting parties; col. 12: line 64 – col. 13: line 26);

- (d) charging at least the identified fee to an account associated with an originator of the request (e.g., employs the Pricing Table and the selection contracted to generate Billing Statement to the requesting parties; col. 13: lines 37-40); and
- (e) upon successfully charging account in (d), providing the originator of said request with the requested video clip and crediting uploader of the requested video clip at least a portion of the charged fee (e.g., a party has requested and contracted payment is authorized to gain access to the requested video feed. Also track the video feed titles supplied by the sources to the system and the selection contracted by the requesting parties to generate Payment Statement to the source; col. 11: lines 22-38 and col. 13: lines 27-37).

Murphy fails to teach each of the uploaders setting a fee associated with each uploaded video clip. However, since Murphy discloses that the Master Authorization Server maintain a Master Feed List of video feeds available at the local PoP servers, which is coupled to a Pricing Table for computing the price for access to be paid by content production intermediaries, and the payment to be made to the video feed sources (col. 12: lines 14-23), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made the video feed sources setting up a fee associated with each video feed in for recouping the expenses of producing the videos and perhaps, generating a profits in order to stay in business.

Regarding claims 22 and 29, Murphy also discloses receiving additional information related to each uploaded video clip, the additional information comprising any of, or a

Art Unit: 2151

combination of, the following: level information, title information, or description information (e.g., track the video feed titles supplied by the sources to the system and the selection contracted by the requesting parties to generate Payment Statement to the source; col. 13: lines 27-37).

Regarding claims 23 and 30, Murphy also discloses the additional information is used to match user requests for video clips (e.g., the Master server can provide user function such as search and query to assist with finding desired video feeds or allow users to profile the types of feeds they might want to access, or permit a viewer to select a movie, video, or audio program from a list of available titles; col. 12: lines 28-34 and col. 13: line 52-55).

Regarding claims 24 and 31, Murphy also discloses the video clip is any of the following formats: AVI, MPEG-1, MPEG-2, WMV, FLIC, multi-pate TIFF, or animated GIF (col. 6: lines 52-63).

Regarding claims 25 and 32, Murphy also discloses the provided video clip in (c) is viewable only for a pre-set time limit (e.g., Live Video Feeds are only available at scheduled dates and times for the event; col. 12: lines 35-45).

Regarding claims 26 and 33, Murphy also discloses receiving a viewer rating associated with the provided video clip (e.g., "Top 100 Videos of the Day; col. 14: lines 27-46).

Art Unit: 2151

Regarding claims 27 and 34, Murphy also discloses the uploaded video clips are with a pre-set size-limit (e.g., pricing can be calculated based on feed length; col. 13: lines 5-7).

Regarding claim 36, Murphy also discloses a method to upload video clips for viewing and rating by a plurality of viewers comprising:

- (a) accessing a website over a network (e.g., users log on to find what video feeds are available and request, contract for access to a desired video feed; col. 7: lines 32-35; and col. 11: lines 22-26);
- (b) providing a title and description of the video clip to be uploaded (e.g., the Master server can provide user function such as search and query to assist with finding desired video feeds or allow users to profile the types of feeds they might want to access, or permit a viewer to select a movie, video, or audio program from a list of available titles; col. 12: lines 28-34 and col. 13: line 52-55);
- (c) setting a fee associated with the video clip to be uploaded (e.g., Pricing Table is used for pricing access to video feeds to requesting parties; col. 12: line 64 col. 13: line 26); and
- (d) uploading the video clip onto a server associated with the website, wherein the uploaded video clip is sold to other users accessing the website by charging users at least the set fee, with at least a portion of the charged fees credited to uploader of the video clip (e.g., a party has requested and contracted payment is authorized to gain access to the requested video feed.

 Also track the video feed titles supplied by the sources to the system and the selection contracted by the requesting parties to generate Payment Statement to the source; col. 11: lines 22-38 and col. 13: lines 27-37).

Art Unit: 2151

Regarding claim 37, Murphy also discloses the title and description information is used by the server to match user's requests for video clips (e.g., the Master server can provide user function such as search and query to assist with finding desired video feeds or allow users to profile the types of feeds they might want to access, or permit a viewer to select a movie, video, or audio program from a list of available titles; col. 12: lines 28-34 and col. 13: lines 52-55).

Regarding claim 38, Murphy also discloses the video clip is any of the following formats: AVI, MPEP-1, MPEG-2, WMV, FLIC, multi-pate TIFF, or animated GIF (Murphy, col. 6: lines 52-63).

Regarding claim 39, Murphy also discloses the sold video clips are view-able only for a pre-set time limit (e.g., Live Video Feeds are only available at scheduled dates and times for the event; col. 12: lines 35-45).

Regarding claim 40, Murphy also discloses receiving and storing a viewer rating associated with a sold video clip (e.g., "Top 100 Videos of the Day; col. 14: lines 27-46).

Regarding claim 41, Murphy also discloses the server ensures that the uploaded video clips are within a pre-set size-limit (e.g., pricing can be calculated based on feed length; col. 13: lines 5-7).

Art Unit: 2151

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Dively et al (US 7,107,249), Electronic Identifier Payment Systems and Methods;

Navar (US 7,080.400), System and Method for Distributed Storage and Presentation of Multimedia in a Cable Network Environment;

McGrath et al (US 6,925,474), Video Information Retrieval;

Holtz et al (US 6,760,916), Method, System and Computer Program Product for Producing and Distributing Enhanced Media Downstreams;

Leblang et al (US2002/0120568), User-to-User Payment Service with Payee-Specific Pay Pages.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Van Kim T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-3073. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit can be reached on 571-272-3939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2151

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Van Kim T. Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 2151

vkn

BUNJOB JAROENCHONWANIT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER